

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	No. 05 CR 727
)	
CONRAD M. BLACK,)	Hon. Amy J. St. Eve
JOHN A. BOULTBEE,)	
PETER Y. ATKINSON, and)	
MARK S. KIPNIS)	

VERDICT FORM FOR CONRAD M. BLACK

SUMMARY OF CHARGES

COUNTS: 1 (mail fraud); 5 through 12 (mail and wire fraud); 13 (concealing documents from an official proceeding); 14 (racketeering); and 15 and 16 (aiding or assisting the preparation of false corporate income tax returns).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	No. 05 CR 727
)	
CONRAD M. BLACK,)	Hon. Amy J. St. Eve
JOHN A. BOULTBEE,)	
PETER Y. ATKINSON, and)	
MARK S. KIPNIS)	

VERDICT FORM FOR CONRAD M. BLACK

COUNT ONE (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count One of the Information (a February 8, 2001 mailing containing unexecuted Non-Competition Agreements with American Publishing Company (APC)), we, the jury, find the defendant, CONRAD M. BLACK:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT FIVE (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Five of the Information (a November 21, 2000 mailing containing a non-competition payment payable to David Radler in connection with the CNHI II transaction), we, the jury, find the defendant, CONRAD M. BLACK:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT SIX (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Six of the Information (a March 1, 2001 mailing containing executed Non-Competition Agreements with American Publishing Company (APC)), we, the jury, find the defendant, CONRAD M. BLACK:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT SEVEN (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Seven of the Information (an April 9, 2001 mailing containing supplemental non-competition payments), we, the jury, find the defendant, CONRAD M. BLACK:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT EIGHT (Wire Fraud)

With respect to Count Eight of the Information (a May 1, 2001 wire of a letter and the May Memorandum relating to the CanWest non-competition payments), we, the jury, find the defendant, CONRAD M. BLACK:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT NINE (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Nine of the Information (a May 4, 2001 mailing containing a memorandum relating to the CanWest non-competition payments), we, the jury, find the defendant, CONRAD M. BLACK:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT TEN (Wire Fraud)

With respect to Count Ten of the Information (a March 27, 2001 wire of International's proxy statement for 2001, filed with the SEC), we, the jury, find the defendant, CONRAD M. BLACK:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT ELEVEN (Wire Fraud)

With respect to Count Eleven of the Information (an April 4, 2002 wire of International's proxy statement for 2002, filed with the SEC), we, the jury, find the defendant, CONRAD M. BLACK:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT TWELVE (Wire Fraud)

With respect to Count Twelve of the Information (a December 22, 2000 wire transfer of \$2.15 million as partial payment for the Second Floor Apartment), we, the jury, find the defendant, CONRAD M. BLACK:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT THIRTEEN (Concealing Documents from an Official Proceeding)

With respect to Count Thirteen of the Information, we, the jury, find the defendant, CONRAD M. BLACK:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT FOURTEEN (RICO)

With respect to Count Fourteen of the Information, in which the defendant CONRAD M. BLACK is charged with conducting, or participating in the conduct, of the affairs of an enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity, we, the jury, find the defendant, CONRAD M. BLACK

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

If you find the defendant CONRAD M. BLACK Guilty with respect to Count Fourteen, you must answer the following question by checking the applicable lines.

With respect to Count Fourteen, we, the jury, find the following has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt [check all that apply]:

Racketeering Act One: Interstate Transportation of Money Taken By Fraud – CNHI I non-competition payment of \$12 million to Inc. _____

Racketeering Act Two: Interstate Transportation of Money Taken By Fraud – Horizon non-competition payment of \$1.2 million to Inc. _____

Racketeering Act Three: Interstate Transportation of Money Taken By Fraud – Forum and Paxton non-competition payments to Inc. and Individuals _____

Racketeering Act Four: Interstate Transportation of Money Taken By Fraud – CNHI II non-competition payments to Inc. and Individuals _____

Racketeering Act Five: Mail Fraud – American Publishing Company non-competition payments _____

Racketeering Act Six: Wire Fraud/Mail Fraud – CanWest non-competition payments _____

Racketeering Act Seven: Wire Fraud – Perquisites _____

COUNT FIFTEEN (Aiding or Assisting the Preparation of a False Tax Return for 1999)

With respect to Count Fifteen of the Information, we, the jury, find the defendant,

CONRAD M. BLACK:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT SIXTEEN (Aiding or Assisting the Preparation of a False Tax Return for 2000)

With respect to Count Sixteen of the Information, we, the jury, find the defendant,

CONRAD M. BLACK:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	No. 05 CR 727
)	
CONRAD M. BLACK,)	Hon. Amy J. St. Eve
JOHN A. BOULTBEE,)	
PETER Y. ATKINSON, and)	
MARK S. KIPNIS)	

VERDICT FORM FOR JOHN A. BOULTBEE

SUMMARY OF CHARGES

COUNTS: 1 (mail fraud); 5 through 12 (mail and wire fraud); and 15 and 16 (aiding or assisting the preparation of false corporate income tax returns).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	No. 05 CR 727
)	
CONRAD M. BLACK,)	Hon. Amy J. St. Eve
JOHN A. BOULTBEE,)	
PETER Y. ATKINSON, and)	
MARK S. KIPNIS)	

VERDICT FORM FOR JOHN A. BOULTBEE

COUNT ONE (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count One of the Information (a February 8, 2001 mailing containing unexecuted Non-Competition Agreements with American Publishing Company (APC)), we, the jury, find the defendant, JOHN A. BOULTBEE:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT FIVE (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Five of the Information (a November 21, 2000 mailing containing a non-competition payment payable to David Radler in connection with the CNHI II transaction), we, the jury, find the defendant, JOHN A. BOULTBEE:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT SIX (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Six of the Information (a March 1, 2001 mailing containing executed Non-Competition Agreements with American Publishing Company (APC)), we, the jury, find the defendant, JOHN A. BOULTBEE:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT SEVEN (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Seven of the Information (an April 9, 2001 mailing containing supplemental non-competition payments), we, the jury, find the defendant, JOHN A. BOULTBEE:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT EIGHT (Wire Fraud)

With respect to Count Eight of the Information (a May 1, 2001 wire of a letter and the May Memorandum relating to the CanWest non-competition payments), we, the jury, find the defendant, JOHN A. BOULTBEE:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT NINE (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Nine of the Information (a May 4, 2001 mailing containing a memorandum relating to the CanWest non-competition payments), we, the jury, find the defendant, JOHN A. BOULTBEE:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT TEN (Wire Fraud)

With respect to Count Ten of the Information (a March 27, 2001 wire of International's proxy statement for 2001, filed with the SEC), we, the jury, find the defendant, JOHN A. BOULTBEE:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT ELEVEN (Wire Fraud)

With respect to Count Eleven of the Information (an April 4, 2002 wire of International's proxy statement for 2002, filed with the SEC), we, the jury, find the defendant, JOHN A. BOULTBEE:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT TWELVE (Wire Fraud)

With respect to Count Twelve of the Information (a December 22, 2000 wire transfer of \$2.15 million as partial payment for the Second Floor Apartment), we, the jury, find the defendant, JOHN A. BOULTBEE:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT FIFTEEN (Aiding or Assisting the Preparation of a False Tax Return for 1999)

With respect to Count Fifteen of the Information, we, the jury, find the defendant, JOHN A. BOULTBEE:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT SIXTEEN (Aiding or Assisting the Preparation of a False Tax Return for 2000)

With respect to Count Sixteen of the Information, we, the jury, find the defendant, JOHN

A. BOULTBEE:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	No. 05 CR 727
)	
CONRAD M. BLACK,)	Hon. Amy J. St. Eve
JOHN A. BOULTBEE,)	
PETER Y. ATKINSON, and)	
MARK S. KIPNIS)	

VERDICT FORM FOR PETER Y. ATKINSON

SUMMARY OF CHARGES

COUNTS: 1 (mail fraud); 5 through 9 (mail and wire fraud); and 16 (aiding or assisting the preparation of false corporate income tax return).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	No. 05 CR 727
)	
CONRAD M. BLACK,)	Hon. Amy J. St. Eve
JOHN A. BOULTBEE,)	
PETER Y. ATKINSON, and)	
MARK S. KIPNIS)	

VERDICT FORM FOR PETER Y. ATKINSON

COUNT ONE (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count One of the Information (a February 8, 2001 mailing containing unexecuted Non-Competition Agreements with American Publishing Company (APC)), we, the jury, find the defendant, PETER Y. ATKINSON:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT FIVE (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Five of the Information (a November 21, 2000 mailing containing a non-competition payment payable to David Radler in connection with the CNHI II transaction), we, the jury, find the defendant, PETER Y. ATKINSON:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT SIX (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Six of the Information (a March 1, 2001 mailing containing executed Non-Competition Agreements with American Publishing Company (APC)), we, the jury, find the defendant, PETER Y. ATKINSON:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT SEVEN (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Seven of the Information (an April 9, 2001 mailing containing supplemental non-competition payments), we, the jury, find the defendant, PETER Y. ATKINSON:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT EIGHT (Wire Fraud)

With respect to Count Eight of the Information (a May 1, 2001 wire of a letter and the May Memorandum relating to the CanWest non-competition payments), we, the jury, find the defendant, PETER Y. ATKINSON:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT NINE (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Nine of the Information (a May 4, 2001 mailing containing a memorandum relating to the CanWest non-competition payments), we, the jury, find the defendant, PETER Y. ATKINSON:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT SIXTEEN (Aiding or Assisting the Preparation of a False Tax Return for 2000)

With respect to Count Sixteen of the Information, we, the jury, find the defendant, PETER Y. ATKINSON:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	No. 05 CR 727
)	
CONRAD M. BLACK,)	Hon. Amy J. St. Eve
JOHN A. BOULTBEE,)	
PETER Y. ATKINSON, and)	
MARK S. KIPNIS)	

VERDICT FORM FOR MARK S. KIPNIS

SUMMARY OF CHARGES

COUNTS: 1 through 9 (mail and wire fraud); and 15 and 16 (aiding or assisting the preparation of false corporate income tax returns).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	No. 05 CR 727
)	
CONRAD M. BLACK,)	Hon. Amy J. St. Eve
JOHN A. BOULTBEE,)	
PETER Y. ATKINSON, and)	
MARK S. KIPNIS)	

VERDICT FORM FOR MARK S. KIPNIS

COUNT ONE (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count One of the Information (a February 8, 2001 mailing containing unexecuted Non-Competition Agreements with American Publishing Company (APC)), we, the jury, find the defendant, MARK S. KIPNIS:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT TWO (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Two of the Information (an August 19, 2000 mailing containing documents relating to the sale of newspapers to Forum, including a Non-Competition Agreement), we, the jury, find the defendant, MARK S. KIPNIS:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT THREE (Wire Fraud)

With respect to Count Three of the Information (a September 5, 2000 wire transmitting a draft Asset Purchase Agreement related to the Paxton sale), we, the jury, find the defendant,

MARK S. KIPNIS:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT FOUR (Wire Fraud)

With respect to Count Four of the Information (an October 24, 2000 wire transmitting wire transfer instructions for the CNHI II transaction), we, the jury, find the defendant, MARK

S. KIPNIS:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT FIVE (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Five of the Information (a November 21, 2000 mailing containing a non-competition payment payable to David Radler in connection with the CNHI II

transaction), we, the jury, find the defendant, MARK S. KIPNIS:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT SIX (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Six of the Information (a March 1, 2001 mailing containing executed Non-Competition Agreements with American Publishing Company (APC)), we, the jury, find the defendant, MARK S. KIPNIS:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT SEVEN (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Seven of the Information (an April 9, 2001 mailing containing supplemental non-competition payments), we, the jury, find the defendant, MARK S. KIPNIS:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT EIGHT (Wire Fraud)

With respect to Count Eight of the Information (a May 1, 2001 wire of a letter and the May Memorandum relating to the CanWest non-competition payments), we, the jury, find the defendant, MARK S. KIPNIS:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

COUNT NINE (Mail Fraud)

With respect to Count Nine of the Information (a May 4, 2001 mailing containing a memorandum relating to the CanWest non-competition payments), we, the jury, find the defendant, MARK S. KIPNIS:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT FIFTEEN (Aiding or Assisting the Preparation of a False Tax Return for 1999)

With respect to Count Fifteen of the Information, we, the jury, find the defendant, MARK S. KIPNIS:

GUILTY NOT GUILTY

COUNT SIXTEEN (Aiding or Assisting the Preparation of a False Tax Return for 2000)

With respect to Count Sixteen of the Information, we, the jury, find the defendant,

MARK S. KIPNIS:

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

FOREPERSON
