

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

JILLIAN WATSON,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Case No. 06 C 1815
v.)	
)	Chief Judge James F. Holderman
ABT ELECTRONICS, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

VERDICT OF THE JURY

We the jury unanimously find the following verdict on the questions submitted to us:

QUESTION NO. 1: Has Jillian Watson proven by a preponderance of the evidence that she had a reasonable, good faith belief that Gregory Meinholz was sexually harassing her? (This does not, however, require her to show that what she believed was correct).

Yes _____ **No** _____

QUESTION NO. 2: Has Jillian Watson proven by a preponderance of the evidence that she asked Gregory Meinholz to stop?

Yes _____ **No** _____

QUESTION NO. 3: Has Jillian Watson proven by a preponderance of the evidence that she would not have been terminated when she was terminated if she had not told Mr. Meinholz to stop, but everything else had been the same?

Yes _____ **No** _____

If you answered “No” to any of the above questions, then your verdict must be for Abt, and you are not to award Ms. Watson any damages for her claim and you are not to answer any further questions. If you answered “Yes” to all of the above questions, you may award Ms. Watson compensatory damages only for injuries that Ms. Watson has proved by a preponderance of the evidence were caused by Abt’s wrongful conduct, as explained in the Jury Instructions. Please determine the amount, if any, of compensatory damages to award her and write down the amount:

Compensatory Damages: \$ _____

NOTE: Answer Question No. 4 only if you answered "Yes" to Questions No. 1, No. 2 and No. 3, above. If you answered "Yes" to Questions No. 1, No. 2, and No. 3, above, proceed to Question 4 even if you did not award any amount for compensatory damages.

QUESTION NO. 4: Has Abt proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it made a good faith effort to implement an anti-retaliation policy?

Yes _____ **NO** _____

If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 4, you are not to answer Question No. 5.

QUESTION NO. 5: Has Jillian Watson proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Abt's managerial employees or officers were acting in the scope of their employment and in reckless disregard of Jillian Watson's right not to be retaliated against?

Yes _____ **No** _____

If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 5, you may, but are not required to, award Ms. Watson punitive damages as explained in the Jury Instructions. Please determine what, if any, amount of punitive damages to award her and write down the amount:

Punitive Damages: \$ _____

Please sign and date this form:

Presiding Juror

Date: _____